

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): L.A. DICKENS et al. Examiner: Ernest Unelus
Serial No. 10/812,326 Group Art Unit: 2187
Filed March 29, 2004 Docket No.: TUC920030125US1
TITLE METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO
TEST A DEVICE

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on May 18, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This paper is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated March 15, 2007 (“Final Office Action”) in which the Examiner rejected all the claims as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. During a phone interview held May 16th, Applicants submitted arguments explaining the patentability of the claims over the cited art, which are presented herein. The Examiners responded favorably to the arguments distinguishing the claims over the cited art and said they would further study the references and art to reconsider the rejection in response to Applicants, response. Applicants submit that all pending claims 1-6, 8-17, and 19-31 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

A listing of previously presented claims begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8.